

remanded by a panel of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit in *NRDC v. Thomas*, 838 F.2d 1224 (D.C. Cir. 1988). Consequently, this permit may be subject to modification if and when the EPA revises the regulation in response to the court decision. This may result in revised emission limitations or may affect other actions taken by the source owners or operators.

Kentucky responded with a letter dated May 11, 1988, stating in part:

This is in response to your letter dated May 3, 1988 \* \* \*. As requested by your letter, the Kentucky Division for Air Quality agrees to include the condition set forth in your letter, in all potentially affected permits issued under regulation 401 KAR 51:017 or 401 KAR 51:052. Therefore, we request that you consider this letter as our commitment that the required caveat will be included in all potentially affected permits \* \* \*.

(d) All applications and other information required pursuant to § 52.21 of this part from sources located in the Commonwealth of Kentucky shall be submitted to the State agency, Commonwealth of Kentucky, Energy and Environment Cabinet, Department of Environmental Protection, Division for Air Quality, 200 Fair Oaks Lane, 1st Floor, Frankfort, Kentucky 40610-1403 or local agency, Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District, 850 Barret Avenue, Louisville, Kentucky 40204, rather than to EPA's Region 4 office.

[54 FR 36311, Sept. 1, 1989, as amended at 74 FR 55143, Oct. 27, 2009]

#### § 52.932 Rules and regulations.

(a) The last sentence of section 3(1) of Kentucky regulation 401 KAR 3:050, which specifies that a new fossil fuel fired steam electric generator's allowable SO<sub>2</sub> emissions may be calculated by averaging SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from existing units of this type and from new ones, is disapproved since it contravenes subpart D of 40 CFR part 60, New Source Performance Standards.

(b) Section 8(2)(a) of regulation 401 KAR 61:015 is disapproved in that it allows the Tennessee Valley Authority's Shawnee power plant until October 1, 1981, to achieve compliance with emissions limits which are not made more stringent by the 1979 Part D revisions, and which the source was previously required to meet by July 1, 1977.

(c) Section 8(2)(d) of regulation 401 KAR 61:015 is disapproved in that it al-

lows sources until December 31, 1982, to achieve compliance with emission limits which are not made more stringent by the 1979 Part D revisions, and which the sources were previously required to meet prior to 1979.

[41 FR 19106, May 10, 1976, as amended at 46 FR 40188, Aug. 7, 1981]

#### § 52.933 Control Strategy: Sulfur oxides and particulate matter.

(a) In a letter dated March 27, 1987, the Kentucky Department for Natural Resources and Environmental Protection certified that no emission limits in the State's plan are based on dispersion techniques not permitted by EPA's stack height rules. This certification does not apply to: Big Rivers-Green #1 & 2, Kentucky Utilities-Ghent #3 & 4, and Ashland Oil, Inc.-Catlettsburg.

(b) *Determination of Attainment.* EPA has determined, as of March 9, 2011, that the Louisville, IN-KY PM<sub>2.5</sub> non-attainment area has attained the 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. These determinations, in accordance with 40 CFR 51.1004(c), suspend the requirements for this area to submit an attainment demonstration, associated reasonably available control measures, reasonable further progress, contingency measures, and other plan elements related to attainment of the standards for as long as the area continues to meet the 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS.

(c) *Disapproval.* EPA is disapproving portions of Kentucky's Infrastructure SIP for the 2006 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS addressing interstate transport, specifically with respect to section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I).

(d) *Determination of Attainment.* EPA has determined, as of September 7, 2011, that based upon 2007–2009 air quality data, the Huntington-Ashland, West Virginia-Kentucky-Ohio, non-attainment Area has attained the 1997 annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. This determination, in accordance with 40 CFR 52.1004(c), suspends the requirements for this Area to submit an attainment demonstration, associated reasonably available control measures, a reasonable further progress plan, contingency measures, and other planning SIPs related to attainment of the standard for as long as this Area continues to meet the 1997 annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS.